

REMARKS

Applicants will address each of the Examiner's objections and rejections in the order in which they appear in the Office Action.

New Title

Specification

In the Office Action, the Examiner objects to the title as not descriptive and is requiring that a new title be submitted. Accordingly, Applicants have amended the title to recite "Display Device", which is consistent with the claims. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this objection be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

The Examiner also rejects Claim 42 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Miyawaki et al. (US 6,157,429). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, in the Office Action, the Examiner contends that Miyawaki discloses the claimed invention in Fig. 3 and has "an EL element 14 formed on the interlayer insulating film 9."

However, Miyawaki clearly states that 14 is "a liquid crystal material." See col. 13, ln. 36. Since Claim 42 requires an EL element and not a liquid crystal material, Miyawaki does not disclose or suggest the display device of independent Claim 42 of the present application.

Therefore, for at least the above-stated reason, Claim 42 is patentable over Miyawaki. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.